Planning and Delivery of Health Services — An Article Review on Urban Aboriginal Mobility in Canada: Examining the Association With Healthcare Utilization
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Résumé :
L’article écrit par Marcie Snyder et Kathi Wilson publié dans la revue Social Science and Medicine en 2012, s’intéresse à l’utilisation des services de santé par les populations autochtones du Canada qui habitent en milieu urbain. À l’aide du Modèle comportemental de l’utilisation des services de santé (Behavioural Model of Health Services Use ou BMHSU), les facteurs de pré-disposition, les facteurs d’habilitation, et les facteurs de besoin ont été organisés et utilisés pour l’analyse des données. Plus précisément, une comparaison a été effectuée entre l’utilisation des services de santé conventionnels (administrés par les médecins et les infirmières) et les services de santé traditionnels (administrés par les guérisseurs traditionnels) à Toronto et Winnipeg. En plus des facteurs géographiques et d’éducation, les résultats de la recherche ont identifié la mobilité comme étant un facteur qui influence l’utilisation des soins de santé.
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Abstract: An article from Social Science and Medicine, written by Snyder and Wilson (2012), examined the use of healthcare services by urban Aboriginal populations in Canada. Using the Behavioural Model of Health Services Use (BMHSU), predisposing, enabling, and need factors were organized and used for data analysis. Specifically, a comparison was made between conventional (physicians and nurses) and traditional (traditional healers) health service utilization in Toronto and Winnipeg. In addition to the geographical and educational factors, the results of the research recognized mobility as a significant predisposing complement to healthcare utilization.
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Introduction

Snyder and Wilson open the article by briefing the readers on the history of Indigenous population of Canada, referred to as Aboriginals. They suggest various “push and pull factors” including socioeconomic status (SES), education, and unmet healthcare needs that influence the Aboriginal population to migrate to urban environments (Snyder & Wilson, 2012). These factors of migration strongly align with Evelyn Peters’ research (2005) on urban Aboriginal communities in Canada, and set a foundation upon which the authors attempt to explain the utilization of health services amongst the Aboriginal population. This introduction, well supported by the data from Statistics Canada’s 2006 Aboriginal Peoples Survey (APS) and other research papers, is bound to capture the readers’ attention.

Materials and Methods

The effect of mobility on healthcare utilization amongst the Aboriginal population is an under-researched topic. Thus, Snyder and Wilson used an existing conceptual framework that best fits their study – the Behavioural Model of Health Services Use (BMHSU; Andersen & Newman, 1973). This model, which has been modified and expanded, is still applicable and widely used in the field of public health; one such use was noticed in a recent study on Canadians with dementia (Forbes, Morgan, & Janzen, 2006). This model organizes variables such as residential mobility, employment, and self-rated health status into three categories that determine the use of health services: (i) predisposing, (ii) enabling, and (iii) need factors (Andersen & Newman, 1973). Using the data from Statistics Canada’s 2006 APS, Snyder and Wilson have astutely applied this model, using binary logistic regression analysis, to correlate the three factors to physician, nurse, and traditional healer use. In their research, Winnipeg and Toronto are the two Canadian Census Metropolitan Areas (CMAs) that were compared due to the contrasting differences in their Aboriginal population size, geographical location, and Aboriginal-led services offered (Snyder & Wilson, 2012). By using a similar approach, research conducted by Peters (2006) and Snyder and Wilson (2015) both provide support for this viewpoint.

Results and Discussion

Due to categorical differentiation by the BMHSU, the readers will discover that the authors’ findings are easier to comprehend. The results for both CMAs and the assessed factors are within a 95% confidence interval, making the data precise. The results conclude that education, income, and employment are significant predictors of the use of health services; however, this is true for the whole Canadian population, and not exclusive to Aboriginal people (Steele, Dewa, & Lee, 2007).

In addition, two significant predisposing factors concluded from the study are age and residential mobility. Research by Wilson, Rosenberg, and Abonyi (2011) explains that the older Aboriginal population is predominantly affected, as they experience geographic isolation and loss of traditional approaches to healing due to their colonial past. This strengthens the article’s findings by indicating that “traditional healing represents an unmet healthcare need” for the Aboriginal population in Toronto and may require a person to travel outside the city in search of traditional healthcare services (Snyder & Wilson, 2012). For further support, the results illustrate that only a small fraction of newcomers have access to traditional healer use in Toronto when compared to Winnipeg.

The Second Report on the Health of Canadians (1999) mentions that despite reductions in substance use and improvements in education, many Aboriginal communities still experience higher infant mortality rates, suicide rates, chronic diseases, and risk for unintentional injuries than the Canadian population as a whole. While all 12 key determinants of health (Public Health Agency of Canada, 2011) apply to the aforementioned issues, culture seems to be particularly dominant since the article specifically takes Aboriginal population into consideration. Some underlying premises could be marginalization (Skinner & Masuda, 2013) and perception of conditions by the Aboriginal people (Senese & Wilson, 2013). While Snyder and Wilson (2012) are not as specific regarding each determinant, they provide the readers with a wide range of possibilities for low healthcare utilization.

Hence, when reading this article, one should keep in mind that changes in healthcare access is dependent on more than just mobility factors. If conventional traditional uses are valued differently amongst Aboriginal people living in Winnipeg and Toronto, this may simply be due to differences in cultural values placed on those services (Wilson et al., 2011). This is further characterized by the classification of three distinct Aboriginal groups: First Nations, Métis, and Inuit. While Snyder and Wilson (2012) mention this distinction in the beginning, they generalize them into one group in their findings. Also, the 2006 APS only accounts for First Nations living off reserves; whereas, similar or decreased health access may be affecting those living on reserves. Likewise, Toronto and Winnipeg, which do provide
insight into two differing urban settings, are not represent-
ative of all urban Aboriginal populations as described by the
title of the article. On the contrary, Snyder and Wilson
(2015) have successfully maintained this distinction
throughout their research.

Conclusion

Overall, Snyder and Wilson have written a compelling arti-
acle that raises the issue of planning and delivery of
healthcare services in Canada. Particularly, it enlightens the
readers by analyzing and discussing the complex relation-
ship between Aboriginal peoples’ mobility and healthcare
utilization. While the article is not specific regarding the
outlined causes, it exposes the readers to many determi-
nants of health, provides sufficient evidence for mobility
being a significant predisposing factor, and provides an im-
petus for more thought and research. Recent healthcare
research by Snyder and Wilson (2015) has contributed by
further employing the aforementioned concepts to analyze
this public health issue.
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