Abstract
This paper discusses progressive thinking and clinical views on improving mental health practice for immigrants and refugees. It addresses policy, care delivery, professionals’ attitudes, and immigrants’ access to mental health care – all factors especially pertinent for practice in major immigration hubs. The data was gathered from invited presentations and discussions among participants at an international multidisciplinary symposium, including health and social scientists from Toronto (Canada) and Paris (France), major urban centres attracting large numbers of immigrant and refugees who constantly encounter challenges for their successful settlement. The focus is on alternative care thinking and innovative approaches for better care and understanding of these populations’ health behavior. Recommendations on how to advance knowledge relevant for these two urban hubs of immigration were documented, underpinned by the consensus that economic disparities, societal and political forces, as well as cultural and linguistic factors, influence immigrants’ and refugees’ vulnerability regarding mental health stability.
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Introduction
At the dawn of the 21st century, health needs and problems have evolved considerably, alongside lengthening life spans, new trends in health behaviours, and unimaginable medical discoveries. According to Cognet (Symposium Opening Remarks, May 23rd, 2017), today’s challenges reside within a triad comprised of global health, global economy, and local practices. A global health perspective involves uncovering health problems that are situated beyond individuals to address human groups, and that transcend state and territorial borders to address transnational health concerns. Addressing health security within a global economy requires attending to neoliberal development policies and the imposition of norms from the dominant economic models. Local practices remain and will continue to remain within the context of a territory, a social space where a culture is materialized by locally accepted roots. This is evident in every situation of an ill individual within the singular, clinical encounter with a healthcare professional.

This context is particularly relevant given the global phenomenon of a high level of voluntary and involuntary migration of people. Acknowledging these challenges, an international multidisciplinary symposium was organized and attended by health and social scientists from Ryerson University (Toronto, Canada) and Université Denis Diderot (Paris, France). Both cities, Toronto and Paris, are major immigration hubs with high concentrations of resident migrants. Among the overarching themes of the symposium
Health and Societies: Interdisciplinary Thinking within Interethnic Contexts (May 23-26, 2017, Toronto, Canada), was immigrants’ and refugees’ mental health and social vulnerability in the settlement process.

A recent United Nations report indicated that there were 258 million international migrations in 2017, and the Global North hosted 57% of them.[1] Immigrant and refugees refer to foreign born or foreign citizens whose trends in moving to another country do unfold mainly within the four more common corridors: Asia-to-Asia; Europe-to-Europe; Latin America and the Caribbean to Northern America; and, Asia-to-Europe corridor.[1] Such international move is no more related uniquely for economic needs and related to age and gender since women accounts in 2017 for 48.4 per cent of all international migrants worldwide.[1]

Canada and France have been among the major destination countries for immigrants and refugees. According to the 2016 census of Statistics Canada,[2] there were 7.5 million immigrants in Canada, and of these 1.2 million immigrated between 2011 and 2016. Foreign-born individuals constituted 21.9 % of the country’s total population.[2] France officially had 6.2 million immigrants and 4.4 million foreigners,[3] of which 38% were Western European nationals, and 2.7 million were nationals from low- and middle-income countries in 2015.[4] For the whole of 2017, asylum applications reached 100,412, an increase of 17% compared to 2016. The requests mainly concerned Albanian, Afghan, Haitian, Sudanese, Guinean and Syrian nationals.[5]

The extant literature shows that migration-related experience provokes emotional and mental instability among re-settled immigrants.[6] Although there is a dearth of literature on the pre-migration mental health state of immigrants,[7] existing evidence has identified the peculiar risks and exposures that trigger mental health challenges along the migration trajectory. Individuals’ vulnerability to depressive disorders may increase due to war trauma, violence, and forced displacement experienced in the pre-migration phase.[6,8-9] During the migration process, a dangerous migratory path, uncertainty about legal residence status in the host country, and different forms of structural violence tend to undermine the mental stability of immigrants.[8] In the settlement phase post-migration, social isolation, the transition toward a lower or lowest socio-economic status and dealing with cultural differences jeopardize the mental stability of immigrants.[8,10-11] The different forms of institutional and structural violence, language barriers, as well as difficulty accessing the healthcare system may culminate in emotional instability.[7-10,12]

Data on the mental health of migrant populations in France is scarce,[13] but according to the Comité pour la santé des exilés[14] psychological and psychiatric disorders are the main cause of serious morbidity among immigrants. Trends in psychiatric epidemiological research among immigrant populations in France suggest that there is an increased risk of psychosocial vulnerability associated with migration status and being an ethnic minority.[15] A study has shown that migrant status in France increases the risk of depressive disorders, bipolar disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder, substance and alcohol abuse and drug dependence, regardless of socio-economic status or geographical origin.[16] Immigrants, especially those who are undocumented, may develop a combination of psychological, social, and administrative vulnerabilities that have a significant impact on their mental health.[17] International evidence indicated that undocumented immigrants typically lack access to basic preventative, diagnostic services, resulting in undiagnosed diseases, or diseases diagnosed in later and more advanced stages.[18-20] Like other immigrants, these individuals may also encounter threats to their mental health and wellbeing such as family separation, exposure to traumatic events, discrimination, and loss of social status. The fact of having an “illegal” status restricts access to opportunities, obstructs societal integration, limits social support, and challenges immigrants’ self-identity.[21] In Canada, the incidence of mental illness (post-traumatic stress disorder, depression) and the risk for psychosis and emotional issues is higher among refugees when compared to the overall national immigrant population.[22-23]

Theoretical framework

The « structural vulnerability » theoretical framework is widely used in medical anthropology. It relates to the fact that health involves far more than just the presence or absence of disease, and that the social determinants of health – that is, the upstream factors and structural conditions that predispose certain individuals and communities to be healthy in the first place – are paramount to an individual’s physical and mental well-being. Ethnographies have taken a critical look to how the social context of migration shapes chronic disease, mental health in particular.[24-26]

Until recently, public health policy related to immigration has seldom acknowledged social determinants such as housing and living conditions, access to welfare services, and administrative hardships. Medical anthropologists have therefore encouraged public health practitioners and policymakers to examine immigration “as both socially...
determined and a social determinant of health”. [27, p376]

Rather than depicting migrants as an at-risk population, which places responsibility on individuals, researchers argue it is preferable to identify immigrants as “structurally vulnerable”. [28] For professionals, recommendations for practice included relying on a “structural competency” approach that engages medicine with stigma and inequality. [26,29-31] This argument has been succinctly summarized:

It is not solely a matter of training and sensitizing individual health practitioners to ‘see’ their patients as structurally vulnerable, but also a question of establishing viable institutional practices that encourage health practitioners to fulfill their roles as genuine healers. Insisting that both health practitioners and the systems they work within include structural vulnerability as an etiologic agent in the presenting signs and symptoms that they daily confront pushes medicine to extend its purview towards becoming more fully social and more responsive to underserved populations. [28, p9]

From that perspective, multidisciplinarity was highlighted as key approach to acknowledging “structural vulnerability” in health practices. [26,32] It is necessary to emphasize that in this paper, the multidisciplinary approach is defined as an approach that applies the concomitant professional provision of care and services, such as, by law, psychiatry, psychology, social work, nursing, etc. to address the particularities and needs of the immigrant and refugee populations.

Therefore, in this paper we discuss the conceptual integration of “social/structural vulnerability” in mental healthcare delivery models, based on the ideas exchanged by the Symposium participants (i.e., academics in the health and social sciences, business faculty, researchers, undergraduate and graduate students, as well as community stakeholders) and evidence that has emerged from research programs and practitioners’ experiences in both countries. The focus is on the role of a multidisciplinary approach that incorporates evidence from social scientific research in the delivery of primary mental healthcare for immigrant and refugee populations. Our analysis was guided by the question: From a synergy between the health and social sciences, what new ideas emerged from the dialogue between Toronto and Paris researchers and health practitioners for innovation in mental health care for immigrants and refugees?

Methods

The raw material and data for analysis was the content of the Symposium’s invited presentations—a combination of presenters’ experiential knowledge and research program. Contents for analysis were retrieved from five of the round-table discussions that were held on various aspects of the mental health of immigrant and refugee populations:

- Dimensions of vulnerabilities in health experiences (Round Table #2)
- Complex, chronic diseases in the context of immigration: situations lived by minorities (Round Table #4)
- Multidisciplinary methods and approaches: field experiences (Round Table #5)
- Foreseeing future collaborations between Toronto & Paris: discussion with Ryerson research collaborators (Round Table #6)
- Migration and settlement policies and experiences (Round Table #7)

To identify the targeted contents, the following procedures were used:

- Listen to the audio files of five round tables for retrieval of content on mental health issues in the discussants’ experiences, professional approaches, and policies. Attentive listening to grasp the emotional context of the discussions held among presenters and audience;
- Review of the audio files, transcribed to select the most illustrative parts of verbatim speech to be used in the manuscript;
- Review and summary of the Symposium’s final clusters of discussed ideas;
- Manual retrieval of content for analytical discussions;
- Review of connections between the main ideas shared and discussed in the Symposium with the professional practice of co-authors with immigrants and refugees in Paris and Toronto.

Upon selection of six presentations that addressed the target content, special attention was given to presenters’ experiential knowledge and scientific evidence as raw material for analysis. The analytical procedures were inspired by the method of thematic analysis [33] using the following steps: (a) transcribed texts were intensively read and emerging ideas noted; (b) a preliminary list of themes was prepared to guide further readings of the texts; (c) analytical concepts that highlighted affinities and contradictions among themes were highlighted, and defined the thematic axis; (d) reflections were logged during readings of the texts and attempts to group the themes with a focus on the key themes and content that tentatively answered the research/analytical question. From this analysis four analytical themes emerged: (a) Mental health
challenges post-migration; (b) Improving migrant populations’ access to care: alternative care thinking; (c) The big picture: mental healthcare delivery, social context and public policies; (d) Immigration/Asylum, settlement process and mental health challenges: clinical perspective from Toronto and Paris.

Results

Foremost it should be noted that prior to the Symposium no round-tables with prepared documents were held. During the Symposium sessions, the discussions were spontaneous within the scheduled duration of two hours each. The content primarily addressed comparisons between practice in Paris and Toronto, with reflexive remarks on transnational issues, and challenges and threats faced by immigrants/refugees regardless of their age, nationality, language, and sexual orientation. Review of the recorded discussions did not reveal any polarized positions, as evidence of the Symposium emotional climate and degree of consensus.

In the following sections, we present selected content that outlines our analysis and illustrates the four analytical themes, using direct quotations by various presenters. While comments from the audience were not part of this analysis, some of them were introduced here to corroborate some argumentative statements.

Mental health challenges post-migration

The available evidence supports the link between migration and mental health challenges.[7-10,34] Building on Newbold’s[35 p1366] contention that “the foreign-born is at increasingly greater rate of experiencing poor health relative to the native born over time”, Dr. Kwame McKenzie’s (psychiatrist, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Canada; Presentation title: Centre for Addiction and Mental Health’s work -Round Table #6) presentation discussed how the “healthy immigrant” effect is lost over time among immigrants in Canada. While only 4% of immigrants arrive in Canada with long-term diseases or chronic illness compared to 9% of Canadian-born individuals, seven years post-arrival, immigrants are in worse health compared to the general population. He emphasized how social determinants of health such as unemployment, low-end jobs, workplace policies, low service use, language barriers, discrimination, and stigma associated with mental illness may increase risk for mental illness of immigrants, refugees, and ethno-cultural and racialized (IRER) populations in the province of Ontario, Canada. Recent immigrants are more likely to be unemployed, have a low income, and be in need of housing. In Canada, IRER groups are more exposed to the social forces that promote mental health problems, and have poorer access to treatment services and poorer outcomes. There are no plans in effect to deal with the existing barriers to service or promote more equitable access (Dr. K. McKenzie, May 25 2017).

Based on her clinical practice as a midwife, Professor Manvi Handa (midwife, Ryerson University, Canada, Presentation title: Uninsured pregnant women: understanding vulnerabilities and addressing health care access - Round Table #2) analyzed the high incidence of psychiatric issues among uninsured pregnant newcomer women in Toronto, as an example of lived vulnerabilities and restricted access to healthcare for undocumented refugees.

Many newcomers are actually women of childbearing age. There is a high incidence of psychiatric disorders compared to general population, particularly around anxiety and depression, and much lower health-seeking behavior for psychological issues. So, individuals are more likely to suffer depression and anxiety and less likely to get help when they have those conditions. (Prof. M. Manda, May 23, 2017)

Dr. Simeng Wang (sociologist, National Center for Scientific Research, France, Presentation title: The use of alternative care in mental health: the case of Chinese immigrants undergoing psychiatric care - Round Table #4) presented a case from her ethnographic study in Paris where Chinese immigrants under psychiatric care also consult traditional Chinese medicine. Although many French-origin doctors are also trained in Chinese medicine, the patients preferred practitioners of Chinese origin.

It is quite rare to see a Chinese patient who consults a practitioner who is not of Chinese origin. This patient is looking for the prescriptions of the Chinese pharmacopoeia and acupuncture care. There are some patients in particular who talk about linguistic barriers that are felt in relation to French caregivers. There are some who prefer to speak or consult directly in Mandarin. As one of the patients said, “If it is someone who does not speak the Chinese language or who has never prepared Chinese food, how can I trust him? How can he treat me with the medicine that comes from my country?” (Dr. S. Wang, May 24 2017)

Dr. Wang emphasized the importance of introducing social science knowledge to health professionals, especially psychiatrists, and of incorporating ethnic and inter-cultural brokerage (including language brokerage) in therapeutic relationships.

Improving care access for migrant populations: alternative care thinking

During the Symposium, participants argued that the conventional approach to cultural competence, when reduced to cultural expertise, leads to essentialist understandings of particular cultures and their conflation with race and ethnicity,[36,37] which is particularly problematic in primary mental healthcare for immigrants. Therefore, an alternative way of thinking, approaching and caring this population was endorsed by the participants and was identified as one of the
analytical theme.

Scholars have shown that more nuanced uses of cultural competence are required, especially in response to cultural diversity in psychiatric practice.[38-40] Given the complexity of cultural diversity, immigration, and settlement, the context of clinical encounters with culturally diverse clients provokes multiple layers of uncertainty among healthcare providers (HCPs) about how to best support clients with whom they do not share a language or culture. Clients may also have different narratives of their experience with mental illness, or extremely unstable living conditions—in terms of legal status, stability of housing and work, and connectedness in their host societies. Indeed, linguistic, cultural, and social factors can have a profound effect not only on the expression of mental suffering, but also treatment of mental illness.

The Symposium participants emphasized the various vulnerabilities that immigrants encounter regarding access to mental health care. From a policy perspective, Dr. McKenzie corroborated this observation by pointing out the absence of a government plan to deliver mental health services to IRER groups in a more equitable way. He particularly pointed out the need for a culturally competent healthcare system, which is acknowledged in the Canadian National Mental Health Strategy. This strategy could facilitate equitable access to care, though its implementation is questionable, as he said, “Nothing has happened since [its development].”

Taking culture into consideration, his research team has developed a culturally tailored Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) tool for anxiety and depression that can be adapted for different cultural groups (it has already been tailored for Caribbean, Francophone Caribbean, and African Francophone populations).

From a care delivery perspective, Dr. Elodie Grossi (sociologist, Université Denis Diderot, France, Presentation title: Racialized landscapes in mental health care in San Francisco Bay Area: The Department of Psychiatry in UCSF, 1980-2005 - Round Table #4) discussed how cultural competence has been thought to be achieved through the ethno-linguistic/ethno-racial pairing of clients and HCPs. In 2016, she conducted a socio-historical qualitative study that focused on the work conditions and the modalities of care developed within the Department of Psychiatry at the University of California, San Francisco, USA. Patients were categorized mainly by ethnicity (i.e., Asian origin, African Americans, Latinos, and Caucasians). HCPs from the same ethno-racial background would manage the patients.

One of the rationales for establishing the care management landscape was to manage the language barrier between HCPs and their patients and families (this argument could not be extended though for having a separate unit for African Americans who speak English). It was argued that such ethno-racial organization of care would help create and define a safe therapeutic space that is symbiotic with the patients’ macro-culture. The ethno-racial pairing was believed to facilitate a trusting relationship between the HCPs and patients and that psychiatrists would understand the cultural nuances of their patients. One of the study’s participating psychiatrists explained how the concept of culture was viewed as a proxy or tool to facilitate the effectiveness of care:

“There are a lot of trust issues between the patients and the psychiatrists, and we do not have six months to work together and build trust, yet there is a need to find a way to engage to create a space...a relationship of trust fairly fast. Culture is a way to accelerate the efficacy of support....” (Dr. E. Grossi, May 24 2017)

Another argument was that psychiatric diagnosis evolves according to the culture and race of patients as identified in Grossi’s study. Another of Grossi’s study participants explained that at times there might be poor diagnosis or forced diagnosis when psychiatrists misunderstand behaviors that are not signs of mental deviance in certain cultures (for example, hearing the voice of God). Another participant in Grossi’s study made a clear distinction between cultural fluidity and biomedical certainty:

...diagnosis and drug management are different things. For the diagnosis, we can understand it, not in a physiological way but in a cultural way. On the other hand, for all medical prescriptions, we turn to biomedical [explanations].

While some practitioners in Grossi’s study agreed that cultural awareness diminished the likelihood of over-diagnosis or misdiagnosis of psychiatric illnesses,[41] their culture-matching approach stoked controversy, to the extent such ethnically-racial segregation was denounced as “psychiatric apartheid.” Symposium presenters and participants reiterated that, particularly in North America, the concept of ‘cultural competence’ is influential in practice and education. It is believed that HCPs may attenuate the uncertainty they face regarding their patients’ practices, beliefs, and attitudes by enhancing their cultural knowledge and understanding in order to address their patients’ particular needs.[42]

Sociologists have offered classic descriptions of how uncertainty is inevitable in cross-cultural understandings, and have claimed that HCPs should define measures to attempt to manage it.[43,44] This is the basis for arguing that primary mental healthcare should move from an interdisciplinary (health) model to a social science-inclusive multidisciplinary...
care delivery model.

Proposed as an innovative approach, Dr. Margareth Zanchetta (nurse, Ryerson University, Canada) and Mohamed Mohamed (nurse, Toronto Public Health, Canada) presented their work with Dr. Stéphanie Larchanché and Dr. David Ansari, which illustrates how the mental health delivery model practiced at the Minkowska Centre in Paris (Centre Médico Psychologique Françoise Minkowska) go beyond simplistic notions of cultural differences. In this model, developing expertise in cultural understanding is considered to be more of a process of social construction, rather than based on the psychiatrist’s scientific knowledge and technical skills. The Centre’s multidisciplinary team intentionally adopted the concept of “mental suffering” rather than psychiatric diagnosis, taking into consideration cultural differences and social determinants (immigration trajectory, legal status, housing, employment, etc.) to understand health and illness. The focus at the Minkowska Centre is on analyzing how psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, anthropologists, nurses, students and trainees use their professional skills. Until the mid-1990s, the care of immigrant and refugee patients in France was generally organized around their linguistic and cultural origin, following a culturalism approach (similar to the ethno-racial pairing logic described above by Grossi).[45] However, considering the ideological context of Republican France, which highlights universal values, such a culturalist approach became highly controversial. Recognizing the limitations of this approach and its stigmatizing consequences, for both patients and clinicians, the Minkowska Centre incorporated concepts and interpretations of illness derived from clinical medical anthropology (referred to as AMC in French: anthropologie médicale clinique) to guide the practice of health and social professionals who work with individuals from diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds.[46, 47]

This approach was based on Kleinman’s model of illness, sickness and disease,[48] which values and incorporates the individual’s own comprehension and expression of psychological suffering, including cultural representations that are articulated according to what some consider magical-religious, spiritual and/or traditional values, as well as the client’s status and circumstances. Using this approach, the professionals together with clients at the Minkowska Centre explore language competence, immigration trajectory, exile history, notion of trauma, and medication regimen, as well as clients’ expressions and understanding of their mental suffering. Cases are considered complex, not only due to their linguistic and cultural features, but also because of the negative, cumulative impact of social factors such as lack of legal status, stable housing, unemployment, etc. Mutual learning occurs intra-team and knowledge confrontation helps to construct or deconstruct knowledge. This approach to mental suffering management is unique since it centers on the client’s well-being and stresses cultural inclusiveness. The Minkowska Centre is also exceptional for the multidisciplinary profile of its mental healthcare team, including the presence of health anthropologists who contribute to the understanding of illness from ethnographic perspectives.

In addressing the emotional and mental suffering of individuals within their trajectory of international relocation, Kleinman’s ideas,[48] which differentiate between disease and illness and their specific role in the cultural process of explaining sickness, are supportive of the multidisciplinary approach proposed in this paper. Kleinman’s definition and conception of illness incorporates an individual’s grassroots concepts as valid clinical realities, and stresses the role of an explanatory model (EM), of transactions in healthcare relationships, of cultural healing and cultural iatrogenesis, and the core adaptive task of healthcare systems. Taken together, this approach offers a meaningful theoretical framework for clinical practice, public health work and research.

According to Kleinman,[48 p88] disease signifies a “malfunctioning in or maladaptation of biological and/or psychological processes”, and illness implies the experience of (perceived) disease and the societal reaction ascribed to the disease. Disease is generally associated with the EMs of HCPs and the conventional healthcare culture in which theories of disease causation and nosology are presented in an abstract manner, while illness is predominantly linked with the EMs of clients and their understanding of their illness within their cultural milieu and experience. A culturally-constructed struggle may arise when HCPs perceive sickness only as a disease and provide technical information and explanations for treatment, while clients require more than just assistance with managing their symptoms: they expect personally and socially meaningful explanations and psychosocial treatment. For example, an asylum-seeking patient suffering from PTSD, and interpreting the presence of her aggressor through flashbacks as a act of sorcery, may expect the mental HCPs to accompany her meaning-making journey through the symptoms, beyond providing medication. Meanwhile, it is paramount for the mental HCP to be able to distinguish between what they may readily interpret as a sign of hallucination based on a biomedical EM, and a culturally-specific way to interpret a symptom from the perspective of the client’s own EM. This client-centred model of cognitive transactions in healthcare stresses the role that cultural understandings play in shaping
the decisions and evaluations of medical treatment – both for HCPs and for patients.\[48\]

Following the input of AMC, the Minkowska Centre revised its intake criteria so that individuals were no longer triaged or referred to the Centre’s clinicians solely on the basis of their culture or language of origin. In 2009, the Médiacor model was conceived and implemented by Dr. Rachid Bennegadi (psychiatrist) and Marie-Jo Bourdin (a social worker) in the Minkowska Centre.\[47\] Its goal is to facilitate efficient orientation of patients by focusing on person-centered care (rather than culture-centered care), thus making it less stigmatizing.\[49\] As the Médiacor model developed, Centre staff started providing support and feedback to the referring institutions, enabling them to evaluate their own social/clinical practices and make changes as needed.

Figure 1 illustrates the conceptual framework of the Médiacor model as a perspective for actions for mediation, reception and orientation.\[49\] Its operationalization unfolds by a circle of dialogue including client, family members, social service and health providers, and the Médiacor team.

The person-centered mental care approach of this model enables HCPs to move away from ethnocentrism and stigma toward contemporary applications of cultural competency. The core of the multilayer approach is the mental health of the individual and strengthening their self-defense mechanisms to promote their emotional/mental well-being. The interventions implemented according to this model are grounded in scientific evidence, the clinical care provided by psychotherapy, and the experiential knowledge of the HCPs and social service professionals.

Within these three major dimensions, interactions aim at the professional development and mobilization of technical competencies. They are intertwined with clinical interventions that integrate various dimensions of the clients, namely their way of life, spirituality, life story, as well as biological aspects. In this model, culture is a paradigmatic concept to establish a concrete intercultural management project among clients’, families’ and professionals’ interests. In this model of caring, the transactional view of culture inspires professional training, informs the clinical framework, and shapes the helping process to promote clients’ coping skills and consequent resilience (for examples of ethnographic illustrations of how MEDIACOR is enacted, see Larchanché\[26\]).

Having been a research intern using the Médiacor model in 2016, M. Mohamed (Presentation title: Which professional expertise for intercultural care? Experiences of a mental health multi-disciplinary approach at the Minkowska Centre, Paris - Round Table #5) drew on his experiential knowledge to present his reflections about the possible ways to use a similar approach in Toronto to innovate practice with immigrants/refugees:

-Healthcare providers should be comfortable with the idea of building a trusting relationship based on person-to-person connection.

-Open dialogue about mental conditions does not undermine trust, patient’s adherence and family participation.

-Use of plain language ensures clear communication and respects the patient’s autonomy in choosing levels of intervention.

-Power sharing is possible and non-threatening to professionals.

-Truth is ethically shared and transparency is part of the
work philosophy.

- Young children can take part in the decision-making process.

In this presentation, Dr. Zanchetta added that such an approach can allow clients to create a more trusting relationship with health/social professionals because of the openness of the process, its transparency, and the supportive environment that allows them to benefit from questioning or challenging EMs.

The big picture: mental healthcare delivery, social context, and public policies

If social vulnerability is a concrete, non-measurable indicator of sensitivities and response of a given group to threats and risks,[50] understanding social vulnerabilities is incomplete without taking into account the structural factors that affect many immigrants, both documented and undocumented.

In this context, Dr. John Shields (political scientist, Ryerson University, Canada, Presentation title; Migration and settlement policies in Canada - Round Table #7) pointed out that

...in Canada, immigration policy rhetoric resonates the values of the political party in power. In the past decade, the Conservative party, for instance, accentuated immigrants’ self-reliance in terms of settlement and integration, cutting funding for the settlement budget, as well as social and health benefit packages.

Such macro conditions introduce issues of structural violence and their effects. A physician and anthropologist, Farmer[51] illustrated how large-scale social forces, such as poverty and racism, can be translated into individual experiences of disease and distress. During the settlement phase, structural violence is most apparent where larger political forces directly or indirectly affect immigrants’ and refugees’ mental health outcomes and their access to healthcare services.[47]
Elaborating on this impact, Dr. K. McKenzie discussed some of the policy, planning and resource allocation-related concerns in relation to mental health services for immigrants in Ontario, Canada:

There is no plan to actually serve other (immigrant) populations in an equitable way…. Our work shows [there is] an increased risk [among] immigrants compared to non-immigrants…. We spend less money on mental health services [for immigrants than non-immigrants]. (Dr. K. McKenzie, May 25 2017)

Throughout the Symposium, immigrants’ and refugees’ mental health issues were intensively discussed within the perspective of a cluster of clinical ideas. Among them was the psychology of suffering; the need to re-frame the physician-client relationship from the client’s perspective and to recognize challenges established by cultural insensitivity and power dynamics; the process of negotiating cross-cultural differences while regarding expectations of the communication of medical information; as well as the strategies needed to reach out to these dispersed populations.

Immigration/Asylum, settlement process and mental health challenges: clinical perspectives from Toronto and Paris

The Symposium led us to review the clinical perspectives of mental health services through the lens of daily practice in Toronto and Paris. Tables 1 and 2 present the major features of the clinical perspectives, as witnessed by the co-authors who are also practitioners. Three key actions are proposed to address the issues in listed in the Toronto context: (1) Develop initiatives that are culturally sensitive, which would go a long way in knowledge and skill development; (2) Design electronic health sources in various languages, as appropriate; and (3) Adopt an integrated approach that includes family members, which would also be fruitful in educating all stakeholders involved. The key actions proposed to address the issues listed in the Paris context are: (1) Promote more extensive and frequent interprofessional and interagency collaboration initiatives (an example would be the monthly Réunion de Concertation Pluridisciplinaire en Périnatalité in the Aquitaine region); (2) Develop health interpretation and mediation, in accordance with recommendations of the Haute Autorité
Cultural competence has been defined as HCPs’ understanding of cultural and social factors that influence the health beliefs and behaviors of clients/patients, along with an understanding of how these factors interact at multiple levels of health delivery systems.[57] Some extended cultural competence may occur in cross-cultural encounters[39,57] added to one’s cultural knowledge and understanding. As a result, alternatives to the dominant notion of cultural competence have been proposed, based on developing critical consciousness,[58] cultural humility,[59-61] cultural responsiveness,[62] cultural safety,[39] and structural competence.[31,63]

Scholars of immigration, notably those who examine restrictive immigration policies and deportation, describe how the status of illegality is experienced with the persistent and ongoing risk of apprehension and deportation.[64-67] These authors describe how undocumented immigrants live in fear of being apprehended, which seeps into their everyday actions so that any activity, no matter how mundane, potentially becomes illegal. Similarly, social science researchers in France have highlighted the difficulties encountered by newcomers in terms of access to housing and obtaining a permanent residence permit which is a prerequisite for access to other services and resources.[13,68] Institutional discrimination and the processes by which the State creates obstacles to the extension of residence permits and to obtaining refugee status exert violence in those populations that deteriorates their mental health.[69,70] The structural violence of reception policies in France is concretized in particular by the acceleration of evaluation procedures within the administration.[71]

Taking these perspectives together, restrictive immigration policies and the conditions of illegality represent a form of structural violence which may prevent immigrants from using the healthcare services they are entitled to, which in turn may lead to deteriorating mental health. In France, the care of precarious immigrants and refugees suffering from mental disorders is confronted with the saturation of the Medico-Psychological Centers.[72] In this context, language barriers are the main problem for non-French speakers (Allophones), non-English speakers and newcomers.[73] A study of 385 French general practitioners showed that communication problems were one of the main difficulties they encountered (55%) in the care of precarious immigrants.[74] Yet, there is no public interpreting service in France except for a few interpretation services provided by non-profit organizations.[73] Faced with the difficulties of psychiatric care for immigrants, a project to coordinate progressive psychiatrists has been developed in Rhône-Alpes in order to overcome the phenomenon of saturation within public care.
structures. This project highlighted several organizational difficulties, including ongoing very limited access to freelance interpreting.[75]

In Canada, former and current immigration policies determine the number and category of immigrants admitted to the country, as well as their settlement and integration experiences.[76] Historically, Canada’s immigration policy was shaped by the country’s self-interest (economic, demographic and cultural) rather than humanitarian ideals. Towards the end of this decade however, Canada took a major turn adopting “anti-racist, pro-human rights” value and introduced a new immigrant selection and integration approach. Until the end of the twentieth century immigrant selection was based on: human capital assets, family ties, or humanitarian reasons. [77,78] Since the beginning of the 21st century, the country’s immigration policy took a new direction, aligning itself with a neoliberal political economic approach which influenced immigrant selection and integration based on “free market” economic principles. During the previous Conservative regime immigration policy was geared towards “promoting corporate profitability and reducing federal government expenses”. [78 p107] Under this neoliberal perspective, refugees and asylum seekers are considered “expensive newcomers” who take advantage of Canada’s healthcare and social support services.[78 p108]

Yet research indicates that immigrants, especially those with chronic diseases, use fewer healthcare resources than native-born, although they have coverage under the country’s universal health insurance scheme (universality refers to the entitlement of all eligible residents to insured services). [35,79,80] It should be noted however, there are a number of uninsured medical services deemed of less “medical necessity”. [81] Among the mental healthcare services not covered or partially covered by provincial health insurance plans, and identified as systemic barriers to accessing care, are counselling services and mainstream community health services. For immigrants with precarious employment, the difficulty of taking time off work to access care adds to the challenge of service utilization.[82] Immigrants’ utilization of health services in general is also affected by the inability to access primary care physicians, long waiting times for diagnosis and treatment, as well as a mandatory waiting time to enroll in a provincial health insurance plan.[81] Other than individual factors, such as lack of awareness of mental health issues, a prolonged state of having a low income and social exclusion, as well as inadequate culturally appropriate services, are prominent barriers to the use of mental health services among Ethiopian, Chinese and South Asian immigrants in Canada.[83-85]

Future research recommendations

As discussed earlier, although overall mental health service utilization is low among immigrants, evidence suggests access to care is disproportionately even lower among immigrant women, children, adolescents, and seniors.[8] For instance, although immigrant women are two to three times at greater risk for postpartum depression compared to their native-born
counterparts, they displayed reluctance in seeking services or disclosing their emotional state to non-family members.[8]

Foreseeing new studies in collaboration among researchers located in Paris and Toronto, Symposium participants who were interested in the theme “Mental Health and Immigration” wanted to explore it in the context of sex and gender. Several new research topics were proposed, which undoubtedly will require a multidisciplinary research team. Table 3 introduces a new research agenda for this scholarly joint work.

Knowledge exchanged during the Symposium and corroborated by research evidence shows that economic disparities, societal and political forces, as well as cultural and linguistic factors, underpin immigrants’ and refugees’ mental health stability. There is a growing trend and discourse to recognize culture and cultural diversity in care delivery models.[86] Yet, this trend has limitations in practice to sensitize and educate social and health professionals beyond a biomedical reductionist approach. As mental health instability in this population is related to larger structural forces, individual experiences are articulated in unique ways. A socially diverse, multidisciplinary care delivery approach is a sensible responsive alternative.

Readers are warned to consider a questionable success of medical anthropology to do so. While anthropology as a discipline may have had little impact on medicine thus far, the growing popularity of MD/PhD programs over the past two decades, for which anthropology constitutes the second top choice as selected PhD discipline (chosen by 22% of MD/PhDs) seems to highlight a change of direction.[87] In fact, a recent survey reports that: “In general, MD-PhDs in the social sciences and humanities have careers that fit the goals of agencies providing public funding for training physician-investigators: they are involved in mutually-informative medical research, clinical practice, and teaching -- working to improve our responses to the social, cultural, and political determinants of health and health care”.[87] In that perspective, we have yet to measure how the “clinical gaze” evolves in the coming years, as physicians trained in anthropology and in other social sciences hold important leadership positions in health institutions (such as Jim Yong Kim, President of the World Bank, or Camara Jones, Medical Officer at the Center for Disease Control and Prevention and President of the American Public Health Association), and as global health approaches continue to flourish in medical training programs around the globe.

Additionally, programs for undergraduate health students have shown promise in raising critical awareness of structural vulnerabilities and their impact on health outcomes. Whereas previous research has tended to focus on medical students and junior doctors, recent studies have identified that by learning concepts in medical anthropology, such as structural vulnerability, undergraduate health students may be better suited to identify racial disparities in conditions such as heart disease and childhood obesity.[88,89] These anthropological concepts undergraduate students learn reflect the kinds of values and skills that educational bodies, such as the Medical College Admissions Test (MCAT) and the American Association of Medical Colleges (AAMC), consider to be core competencies. Clinicians trained in concepts in medical anthropology have also demonstrated the ability to respond to the ways that structural discrimination manifests in patients. For instance, Hansen and colleagues[90] identify how psychiatrists trained in structural competency and cultural humility, for example, have successfully addressed the mental health effects of hate crimes based on race, sexuality, religion, immigration status, and their intersections.
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