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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Lecture has historically been a core method used for content delivery in healthcare profession education. However, lecture attendance has decreased within the recent generations of students. The current study focus was to assess medical and nursing students’ perceptions regarding lecture attendance.

Methods: To assist with this, second year medical and nursing students were requested to answer a 10-item survey. The overall response rate on the survey was 34.4% (110 out of 320 medical students), and 44.2% (95 out of 215 nursing students).

Results: The results show that even with the tech-oriented newer generations, students still value lectures as a way of acquiring information. Not all students have the same learning style; however, they will mostly attend classes if they find the subject interesting while bearing a high relevance to evaluation, if the lecturer is engaging and offering valuable information, and if they are actively learning.

Conclusions: Although factors driving and hindering lecture attendance vary between medical and nursing students, they both agree that traditional lectures are an effective approach to learning.

RÉSUMÉ

Introduction: Les cours magistraux ont toujours été une méthode essentielle de transmission du contenu de l’enseignement dans le domaine de la santé. Cependant, l’assiduité aux cours magistraux a diminué au sein des dernières générations d’étudiants. Cette étude a pour but d’évaluer les perceptions des étudiants en médecine et en soins infirmiers concernant la participation aux cours magistraux.

Méthodologie: Ainsi nous avons demandé à des étudiants en deuxième année de médecine et en soins infirmiers de répondre à un questionnaire en 10 points. Le taux de réponse global à cette évaluation a été de 34,4 % (110 étudiants en médecine sur 320) et de 44,2 % (95 étudiants en soins infirmiers sur 215).

Résultats: Les résultats montrent que, malgré l’orientation technologique des nouvelles générations, les étudiants apprécient toujours les cours magistraux comme moyen d’acquérir des informations. Tous les étudiants n’ont pas le même style d’apprentissage ; cependant, ils assisteront le plus souvent aux cours s’ils trouvent le sujet intéressant et très pertinent pour l’évaluation, si le conférencier est engageant et offre des informations précieuses, et s’ils apprennent activement.

Conclusions: Bien que les facteurs qui favorisent ou entravent la participation aux cours magistraux varient entre les étudiants en médecine et les étudiants en soins infirmiers, tous s’accordent à dire que le cours magistral traditionnel est une approche efficace à l’apprentissage.
INTRODUCTION

The lecture has a long and venerable place in the history of medical education. Lectures offer discipline, a good learning environment, and students enjoy attending them. Researchers have identified that students’ desires to attend lectures are fueled by the excitement of intellectual discovery, presentation of challenging and provocative ideas, arguments and counterarguments, desire for knowledge, stimulation of interest, and clarity of explanation and enthusiasm. Over the past few years, the question of whether the lecture is an effective teaching method has been a topic of heated debate in the field of higher education. Recently, the value of the lecture has been increasingly questioned for a number of reasons; waning lecture attendance rates by students, heightened emphases on active learning, interactive modes of teaching and technological advances that allow for the instructional component of lectures to be delivered online.

Researchers both defend and deride lectures as a mode of learning. Donald Clark, for example, describes the face-to-face lecture as ‘a lazy and damaging pedagogy’. Harvard physicist Eric Mazur suggests that ‘it is almost unethical to be lecturing’. Further, Kelly et al. observed student engagement in three types of classrooms (lecture, problem based and team based classrooms), and found engagement to be lowest in the lecture theater. Typically, less than half of the students attend, even though those who attend score higher on examinations than those who are absent.

Those in favour of retaining face-to-face lectures suggest that when done well, lectures can be informative, engaging, inspiring and even transformational learning experiences. Gunderman describes a great lecture as a work of art, a kind of dance, in which lecturer and listeners watch, respond to, and draw energy and inspiration from each other. Gysbers et al. found that students ‘revealed an emotional attachment to this mode of teaching’ and ‘were passionate about retaining lectures’. One of the key reasons that students like attending lectures is the potential motivation to learn resulting from the group dynamic in the lecture setting and the presence of the lecturer. Moreover, Charlton found that lectures are so effective because they exploit the spontaneous human aptitude for learning from spoken (rather than written) information. Literacy is a recent cultural artefact, and for most of their evolutionary history humans communicated with the spoken word. In contrast with speech, all communication technologies—including reading a book on a computer monitor—are artificial and unnatural. Although the social nature of the lecture might be viewed as a peripheral benefit, learning is easier during formal, quiet, real-time social events.

The fact that lectures survived the era of technology and online learning means that they are a valuable tool for learning. An ideal lecture is informative, provocative, and provides a connection between a skilled teacher and an eager learner. Students can argue, ask questions and better understand challenging concepts, not to mention the importance of socializing and collaborating with their peers. Millennials, born between 1981 and 2000 represent the majority of the incoming group of medical students and residents. This is the first generation to grow up surrounded by digital media, and as a result, they are fluent with technology in a way prior generations never were. For this demographic, staying connected to the internet is essential and expected, and they have become accustomed to receiving and communicating information instantaneously. Millennials may perceive traditional didactics and formal lectures as unengaging. Residency programs have noticed a trend of decreasing attendance in formal lecture series, and awareness of these attitudes has prompted some training programs to shorten didactic programs, reexamine the quality of the content, and address alternative ways of providing information through new technologies. A study by Elam et al. suggest that Millennial students prefer hands-on learning experiences in a noncompetitive environment, where they receive individualized feedback, and get to use technology to manage information. Other studies have suggested that students indicated a preference for lectures combined with group work and discussions; this was ranked as the most preferred instructional format, regardless of generation.

The “millennials” generation perceive learning as an active experience and something no longer relegated to the passive approaches of the past. So, is our educational system equipped to meet the needs of the students of the digital age?
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The current study aims at exploring the factors affecting lecture attendance amongst medical and nursing students at the University of Ottawa, their preferred method of learning and their perception of the role of traditional lectures in education.

METHODS

In order to evaluate student views on attendance, a questionnaire in accordance with the literature by Bhati was employed.20 The survey questions were divided into different sections, each of which addressed a concern about students’ lecture attendance.

SETTING AND SAMPLE SIZE

The survey consisted of 10 questions, including 5 point Likert-style questions, multiple-choice questions, and short answer questions. Most questions included the option for students to offer open-ended commentary to expand upon their choices. The survey was optimized, adopted to Google forms and sent via email to second year medical students in Spring 2018 (n=320) and to second year nursing students in winter 2019 (n=215). A reminder email was sent 4 weeks after the initial one.

DATA ANALYSIS

Statistics were extracted from Google Drive analytics with the free Spanning Stats for Google Drive. A chi-square test of independence was performed using excel 2016 to examine the difference between medical and nursing students’ groups (significant threshold was set at p≤0.05). Specific comments added by medical and nursing students regarding factors driving or hindering lecture attendance that were not addressed in the survey questions are listed in appendix A and B respectively.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

The protocol # 20180476-01H (outlining the qualitative survey assessment analyses) was reviewed by the Ottawa Hospital Research Ethics Board and approval was granted in September 2018.

RESULTS

Characteristics of Survey Respondents

The overall response rate to the survey was 34.4% (110 out of 320 medical students), and 44.2 % (95 out of 215 nursing students).

Students' attendance per year of studies

Lectures’ attendance was 48/110 (43.6%) and 14/95 (14.7%) during the first year of studies (p≤0.03); 9/110 (8.2%) and 29/95 (30.5%) (p≤0.04) during the second year of studies amongst medical and nursing students respectively. The majority of medical students 53/110 (48.2%) and nursing students 50/95 (52.6%) attended lectures equally in both years, with no significant differences between both groups (p≥0.1).

The top reasons stated by medical students for attending lectures were: 1- the mandatory nature of the lectures (81.8%), 2- the ability to socialize with their peers (68.2%) and 3- the professor’s emphasis on important points (67.3%). The main reasons for nursing students’ lecture attendance were: 1- the professor’s emphasis on important points (90%), 2- gaining insight into more important learning objectives (73%) and 3- the mandatory nature of the lectures (68.4%) (table 1).

Table 1: Factors driving lectures’ attendance by medical and nursing students at The University of Ottawa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors driving lectures’ attendance</th>
<th>Medical students n=110</th>
<th>Nursing students N=95</th>
<th>Chi-square test p≤0.05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>They are mandatory</td>
<td>81.8% n=90</td>
<td>68.4% n=65</td>
<td>≤0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To socialize with other students</td>
<td>68.2% n=75</td>
<td>29.4% n=28</td>
<td>≤0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The professor emphasizes important points</td>
<td>67.3% n=74</td>
<td>90 % n=85</td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecture assists me in covering the objectives</td>
<td>50% n=55</td>
<td>73% n=69</td>
<td>≤0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference of face to face interaction</td>
<td>47.3% n=52</td>
<td>43% n=41</td>
<td>≥0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The professor gets us engaged</td>
<td>40.9% n=45</td>
<td>55.9% n=53</td>
<td>≥0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To collaborate with other students</td>
<td>36.4% n=40</td>
<td>24.2% n=23</td>
<td>≤0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The top factors hindering lecture attendance amongst medical students were: 1- ineffectiveness of the lecture format (63.5%), 2- a preference for learning through alternative formats (e.g. video or audio recordings) (43.3%), and 3- the perceived low relevance of some content presented in lectures (37.5%). For nursing students, the main reasons were: 1- the ineffective lecture format (67.7%), 2- the ability to access to PowerPoint
presentations (36.6%), and 3- the perceived low relevance of the material to exams (30%) (Table 2). 65% (n=71) of medical students and 61% (n=58) of nursing students agreed that traditional lecture is an effective approach to learning in undergraduate education (Figure 1). 56.4% (n=62) of medical students stated that traditional lectures is their preferred method of learning compared to 27% (n=30) preferring flipped classroom, and 30% (n=33) preferring small group learning, and online learning (31% n=34). 38% (n=36) of nursing students stated that traditional lecture is their preferred method of learning compared to 19% (n=18) preferring flipped classroom, 3.2% (n=3) preferring small group learning, and 7.4% (n=7) preferring online learning (Figure 2).

Table 2: Factors hindering lectures’ attendance by medical and nursing students at University of Ottawa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors hindering lectures attendance</th>
<th>Medical students n=110</th>
<th>Nursing students n=95</th>
<th>Chi-square test p≤0.05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The lecture format is not effective</td>
<td>63.5% n=70</td>
<td>67.7% n=64</td>
<td>≥0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference to use video or audio tapings</td>
<td>43.3% n=48</td>
<td>18.3% n=17</td>
<td>≤0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The material is perceived of low relevance to exam</td>
<td>37.5% n=41</td>
<td>30% n=28</td>
<td>≥0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lectures are scheduled early in the morning</td>
<td>36.5% n=40</td>
<td>21.5% n=20</td>
<td>≤0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to PowerPoint presentation</td>
<td>36.5% n=40</td>
<td>36.6% n=35</td>
<td>≥0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracurricular/personal activities</td>
<td>27.9% n=31</td>
<td>14% n=13</td>
<td>≤0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled electives</td>
<td>19.2% n=21</td>
<td>1.1% n=1</td>
<td>≤0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The professor is not efficient</td>
<td>5.5% n=6</td>
<td>13.7% n=13</td>
<td>≥0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer self-study</td>
<td>4.5% n=5</td>
<td>3.2% n=3</td>
<td>≥0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Lectures have long been the “perfect way” of delivering information, where the professors give immediate answers to students’ inquiries and where lecturers can use examples and quizzes to enhance critical thinking. In an attempt to highlight the importance of lectures, Strassman has stated “these days, if you do not follow the instructions that came with your new coffee grinder, you can find a YouTube video that explains how to put it together. So explaining helps and that is what a lecture is, an explanation. Is there anything better than a great talk?” Such thinking is not new; researchers in the 1970s identified the importance of the lecturer in conveying principles rather than details, simplifying complex material and communicating with clarity in a structured manner.

However, with the increasing emergence of technology and web-based lecture technologies (WBLTs), there seems to be a decline in lecture attendance amongst university students. Other reasons for this decline include poor pedagogical skills and material delivery, irrelevant content and lack of motivation.

The current study demonstrates that the top reasons supporting lecture attendance differed amongst medical and nursing students. For medical students, the top two reasons for lecture attendance were that lectures are mandatory (81.8%), and they offer an opportunity to socialize with peers (68.2%), while nursing students were more focused on gaining insight into the high yield content on exams (90%) and covering the learning objectives (73%) (Table 1). These differences might be attributed to the fact that many medical students prioritize their learning effort towards excelling at various dimensions of CanMEDS.
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RESEARCH

roles such as being a communicator, a collaborator an advocate and an expert which allow physicians to utilize an evolving body of knowledge, clinical skills, and professional attitudes to support high-quality and safe patient-centered care.\textsuperscript{24} Both groups of students equally preferred face-to-face interaction with their professors (where teachers can help students in ways that computers cannot), collaboration with peers (where they get to develop teamwork skills) and when the professor initiates engaging activities or discussions (\textbf{table 1}). These results are in accordance with Bhati et al, who found that the main factors affecting student attendance include the mandatory nature of lectures, whether the lecture notes prepared and materials presented are adequate for the learning process, lecturer–lecture–student quality, the scope and difficulty of the subject, and lastly, the possibility of learning about the same subject outside lectures.\textsuperscript{20}

Our results are consistent with the results of Sanfilippo, who found that what students find most valuable about lectures is that attendance provides some educational value beyond what they can acquire from their own review of the available information.\textsuperscript{1} Moreover, the social nature of traditional lectures is also valuable. In addition, lower attendance leads to worse learning outcomes.\textsuperscript{25} Earlier, researchers identified the importance of the lecturer in conveying the material in an interesting, clear and logical way.\textsuperscript{12}

When it comes to factors hindering lectures' attendance, the current study showed that there was no significant difference between medical and nursing students for some of these factors. Neither would go to classes if the lecture format was ineffective (where the teacher would read from the slides or was not interested in lecturing; if they had access to PowerPoint presentations; and if the material was perceived with low relevance to exam). On the other hand, there were significant differences between medical and nursing students when they had access to video and audio tapings (43.3\% and 18.3\% respectively); when the lectures were scheduled early in the morning (36.5\% and 21.5\% respectively); when they had extracurricular activities (27.9\% and 14\% respectively), when they preferred to attend scheduled electives (19.2\% and 1.1\% respectively) or to collaborate with their peers (36.4\% and 24.7\% respectively) (\textbf{table 2}).

Our results echoed those of Gysbers et al., who found that the early-morning lectures were one common reason that had a significant effect on attendance.\textsuperscript{2} In particular, late nights of study and early morning sleepiness, which can be further compounded by long travel times. The quality of lectures and the inefficiency of the lecturers are some of the main reasons for not attending lectures. Moreover, students do not attend lectures if they feel that their overall examination performance will not be affected. Clark argues that "we are in the tech world now; students have to attend lectures in specific locations and timing which may not be suitable for them". He supports this argument by stating that in a lecture, students are passive observers occupied with note taking, and minor disabilities can massively reduce the benefit from lectures. These factors combined with the cognitive overload that students may face, do not allow the students to learn as effectively.\textsuperscript{7} Many other researchers argue that lecturing is a boring, passive, ineffective and antiquated teaching method that will soon be obsolete.\textsuperscript{26}

Millennials interact with technology like no other generation before them and this is affecting how they want to be taught. Their learning and communication style is through multimedia. Also known as the Net Generation, they have been raised in an era of instant access. Their common method of contact is text messaging and instant messaging as well as cell phones. While we hypothesized that lectures would be a less preferred instructional method as learning has moved into web-based tools,\textsuperscript{27} the current study showed that students still perceive the lectures as a discipline they have to follow. A similar finding to that of Zinski et al. who stated that students ranked lecture highly as an instructional method.\textsuperscript{28} These students prefer to attend lectures when the professor is engaging, the subject is interesting and challenging, when they expect to learn and acquire new information and when the lectures relate to what appears on the tests.

Therefore, lectures are not the problem but the context is; some subjects are just more fascinating to learn than others are, and students' preference for learning is not the same. Most of the literature agrees that the top reasons to attend lectures is the efficiency of the professor and the relevance of the material taught to the curriculum.\textsuperscript{21} This means our challenge is not to stop lecturing, but to encourage students to attend lectures for the sake of acquiring the information they need, put emphasis on the importance of lectures in conveying valuable information and clarifying difficult concepts, as well as using a variety of methods to
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CONCLUSION

Lectures retain a major educational role because they offer a live interaction with professors and make learning easier than with electronic and literacy-based media. Even with the tech-oriented new generations, students still value lectures as a way of acquiring information. Not all students attend classes if they find the subject interesting and highly relevant. The lecturers are engaging and offer valuable information, and if they are actively learning. In brief, students have to feel that attending classes is worthwhile, and adds to their knowledge in an engaging comfortable setting. Although factors driving and hindering lecture attendance vary between medical and nursing students, they both agree that ‘traditional learning’ is an effective approach to learning.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Future studies are recommended to:

- Compare lectures’ attendance between medical students from different universities.
- Compare lectures’ attendance between medical students and students from other faculties (e.g., faculty of arts etc.).

Limitations of the study:

- The sample size is relatively small.
- The study did not correlate the lectures attendance with students’ performance on exams.
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