

# What Does Autonomy Mean to You?

Neha Khanna<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dalhousie Medical School, Faculty of Medicine, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada

Date Published: August 5, 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18192/UOJM.V15iS2.7523>

Throughout life, we constantly face decisions about our health and that of our loved ones. Some decisions are straightforward, like opting for surgery to remove a life-threatening arterial blockage or using a brace to heal a sprained ankle. However, many situations present dilemmas where we must choose between multiple acceptable options or equally unacceptable [1]. In these complex cases, we often rely on healthcare professionals for guidance and advice. Yet, a critical question arises: how confident can we be that healthcare providers consider our cultural background and values in their recommendations? Establishing trust between patients and providers hinges on transparent communication that respects individual concerns and cultural beliefs. One approach to ensuring this transparency is through ethnographic methods, which emphasize understanding cultural narratives and social connections within communities [2].

In Western medicine, ethical dilemmas frequently arise around the principles of autonomy and beneficence. Autonomy asserts that individuals have the right to make decisions about their own lives, including healthcare choices that reflect their mental capacity, wishes, beliefs, goals, hopes, and fears [3]. Beneficence, on the other hand, obligates healthcare providers to act in the best interest of the patient, weighing potential benefits and burdens of treatment options [4]. Conflict arises when respecting a patient's autonomy clashes with what healthcare providers perceive as the best medical course. Bridging this gap requires navigating ethical dilemmas with minimal bias and in ways that respect the cultural and religious beliefs of patients [5]. Understanding the concept of autonomy in healthcare necessitates examining how it varies across different cultures and contexts.

Cross-cultural differences in autonomy are pronounced, reflecting varying cultural values and societal norms. Western societies often emphasize individualism, personal happiness, and self-actualization as core to autonomy [6]. This individualistic perspective prioritizes personal

decision-making based on one's own preferences and values. In contrast, many non-Western cultures place greater importance on interconnectedness within social relationships and the collective well-being of the family or community [4]. For example, in Eastern cultures decisions often consider the impact on family members and the broader community, rather than solely on individual preferences. This contrasts with Western approaches where consent is usually sought from individuals rather than communities [6].

An illustrative example of these cultural differences in autonomy can be seen in research practices. In a study conducted in an Indigenous village in Taiwan, a researcher obtained consent from individual volunteers for a study involving blood donation. However, when elders in the community learned of the study, they insisted that consent must be collective for the entire village, not just from individual volunteers [7]. This cultural expectation highlights the communal nature of decision-making in contrast to the individualistic approach common in Western research practices [8].

The treatment of psychiatric disorders also underscores the impact of cultural perspectives on healthcare. The Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) initiative aimed to enhance psychiatric treatment by integrating biological, psychological, and social factors into diagnostic and therapeutic approaches [9]. However, criticisms of the RDoC framework noted its limited consideration of cultural influences on mental health. Cultural norms profoundly shape definitions of normality and influence how symptoms are perceived and treated across different populations [9]. Recognizing these cultural variations is crucial for developing effective healthcare strategies that respect diverse cultural perspectives [10].

In North America, the increasing cultural diversity challenges healthcare systems to adapt and provide culturally competent care [5]. Statistics Canada's 2016 Census revealed that racialized groups represent 22%

of the Canadian population, a number steadily rising [11]. Despite these demographic shifts, healthcare systems often struggle to accommodate the varied perceptions of autonomy and healthcare practices among diverse cultural groups [12]. Flexibility in healthcare practices is essential to respect these cultural differences and provide patient-centered care that aligns with diverse cultural values [13].

Ethnographic approaches offer valuable insights into cultural perceptions of autonomy and decision-making processes within healthcare [14]. By studying cultural narratives and social dynamics, ethnography helps healthcare providers understand how cultural beliefs and values influence patient preferences and treatment decisions. Medical anthropologists, trained in ethnographic methods, play a crucial role in bridging cultural gaps in healthcare settings [8]. They bring expertise in understanding how cultural factors such as beliefs about illness causation, socioeconomic status, and previous healthcare experiences shape patient interactions with the healthcare system [15]. Despite their potential contributions, the integration of medical anthropologists into mainstream healthcare remains limited, highlighting the need for greater recognition of their expertise in promoting culturally competent care [16].

Medical anthropology provides a robust framework for navigating ethical dilemmas in healthcare by contextualizing autonomy within broader social and cultural contexts [16]. This interdisciplinary approach enriches healthcare practices by incorporating cultural insights into patient care and decision-making processes [9]. For example, in the context of organ donation, which is viewed differently across cultures, ethnographic studies can illuminate local attitudes, cultural meanings, and personal narratives that influence decision-making. This enables an understanding of contextual factors such as social expectation or economic pressures that can impact decision making [17].

The integration of ethnographic approaches in healthcare ethics can mitigate challenges to individual autonomy by promoting informed decision-making that respects cultural nuances [18]. This is particularly crucial in overcoming language barriers or communication challenges that may hinder patients' ability to make informed healthcare choices. In ethical dilemmas involving autonomy, such as informed consent for medical procedures, understanding patients' explanatory models and cultural frameworks is essential [18]. Ethnography provides

healthcare providers with tools to navigate these complexities sensitively and effectively, ensuring that patients' values and preferences are respected [19].

In conclusion, the complexity of autonomous decision-making in healthcare is profoundly influenced by social and cultural factors [20]. Moving forward, exploring the role of narrative medicine and the integration of medical anthropologists into clinical settings promises to enhance the quality of healthcare delivery. Medical anthropologists offer unique insights into cultural contexts that shape patient care and decision-making, enriching healthcare practices with diverse perspectives [9]. Their expertise in ethnographic methods provides invaluable contributions to understanding and respecting cultural differences in healthcare. Ultimately, integrating these approaches into healthcare systems can bridge cultural divides, promote patient-centered care, and improve the quality of informed decision-making in diverse cultural settings.

## REFERENCES

1. Ong WY, Yee CM, Lee A. Ethical dilemmas in the care of cancer patients near the end of life. *Singapore medical journal*. 2012;53(1):11–6.
2. Miller CM. 'The Eyes Have It': Using parliamentary ethnography to examine gender in the UK house of commons 'Working Worlds.' *Gendering the Everyday in the UK House of Commons: Beneath the Spectacle*. 2020 Nov 8;51–102.
3. Hebert PC, Rosen W. Doing right: a practical guide to ethics for medical trainees and physicians. 2019.
4. Varkey B. Principles of clinical ethics and their application to practice. *Medical Principles and Practice*. 2021;30(1):17–28.
5. Chauhan A, Walton M, Manias E, Walpole RL, Seale H, Latanik M, et al. The safety of health care for ethnic minority patients: a systematic review. *International Journal for Equity in Health*. 2020;19(1):118.
6. Kara MA. Applicability of the principle of respect for autonomy: the perspective of Turkey. *Journal of medical ethics*. 2007 Nov;33(11):627–30.
7. Tai MC-T. Western or Eastern principles in globalized bioethics? An Asian perspective view. *Tzu Chi Medical Journal*. 2013;25(1):64–7.
8. Kerrigan V, Lewis N, Cass A, Hefler M, Ralph AP. "How can I do more?" Cultural awareness training for hospital-based healthcare providers working with high Aboriginal caseload. *BMC Medical Education*. 2020;20(1):173.
9. Cuthbert BN, Insel TR. Toward the future of psychiatric diagnosis: the seven pillars of RDoC. *BMC Medicine*. 2013;11(1):126.
10. Gone JP, Kirmayer LJ. Advancing Indigenous Mental Health Research: Ethical, conceptual and methodological challenges. *Transcultural Psychiatry*. 2020;57(2):235–49.
11. Canada S. 2016 Census of Population. Statistics Canada Catalogue. 2016. Available from: <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/dt-td/Rp-eng.cfm?APATH=3&DETAIL=0&DIM=0&FL=A&FREE=0&GC=0&GID=0&GK=0&GRP=1&LANG=E&PID=112450&PRID=10&PTYPE=109445&S=0&SHOWALL=0&SUB=0&THEME=120&Temporal=2016&VID=0&VNAMEE=&VNAMEF=>

12. Vespa J, Armstrong DM, Medina L, U.S. Census Bureau. Demographic turning points for the United States: population projections for 2020 to 2060. 2018. Available from: <https://purl.fdlp.gov/GPO/gpo93743>
13. Cabral RR, Smith TB. Racial/ethnic matching of clients and therapists in mental health services: A meta-analytic review of preferences, perceptions, and outcomes. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*. 2011;58:537–54.
14. Shepherd SM, Willis-Esqueda C, Newton D, Sivasubramaniam D, Paradies Y. The challenge of cultural competence in the workplace: perspectives of healthcare providers. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2019;19(1):135.
15. Lin Y-K, Hsu M-T, Hsieh M-C. Anthropological and sociological perspectives of medical professionalism. *Tzu Chi Med J*. 2018;30:53-4.
16. Deitrick LM, Capuano T, Salas-Lopez D. Practicing anthropology in an academic community hospital: lessons from the field. *Practicing Anthropology*. 2010 5;32(4):19–23.
17. Lock MM, Nguyen V-Kim. *An anthropology of biomedicine*. Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.
18. Sargent C, Smith-Morris C. Questioning our principles: anthropological contributions to ethical dilemmas in clinical practice. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*. 2006;15:123–34.
19. Brown PJ, Closser S. *Understanding and Applying Medical Anthropology*. 3rd ed. New York: Routledge; 2016. 460.
20. Holm S. Not just autonomy--the principles of American biomedical ethics. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. 1995;21(6):332–338.